

# Interview Summary

Application No.  
09/359,809

Applicant(s)

Levy, Richard

Examiner  
Margaret B. Medley

Group Art Unit  
1714



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Margaret B. Medley

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Attorney Robert J. Eichelburg

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Oct 31, 2000

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: All of record

Identification of prior art discussed:  
None

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:  
Applicant's representative was informed that a new restriction requirement would be faxed on November 1, 2000 and mailed copy would follow to replace the restriction requirement, Paper No. 4, mailed on July 12, 2000. On September 26, 2000 Applicant's representative indicated that the eleven-way restriction requirement was unwarranted. The Examiner indicated that a reconsideration of the restriction requirement would be made and a new restriction requirement would be issued.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

# Interview Summary

Application No.  
09/359,809

Applicant(s)

Levy, Richard

Examiner  
Margaret B. Medley

Group Art Unit  
1714



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Margaret B. Medley

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Attorney Robert J. Eichelburg

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Sept 26, 2000

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: All of record

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant's representative was informed that a new restriction requirement would be mailed to replace the restriction requirement, Paper No. 4, mailed on July 12, 2000. On September 26, 2000 Applicant's representative indicated that the eleven-way restriction requirement was unwarranted. The Examiner indicated that a reconsideration of the restriction requirement would be made and a new restriction requirement would be issued.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.